



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/539,632

11/09/2005

Yves Rayssiguier

1487-27

4695

23117

7590

09/24/2008

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC

901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR

ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

CRANE, LAWRENCE E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/24/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/539,632</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner LAWRENCE E. CRANE</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1623</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/539,632	Applicant(s) RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.	Examiner LAWRENCE E. CRANE	Art Unit 1623
Application No. 10/539,632	Applicant(s) RAYSSIGUIER ET AL.				
Examiner LAWRENCE E. CRANE	Art Unit 1623				

All Participants:

(1) LAWRENCE E. CRANE.

(2) B. J. Sadoff.

Status of Application: no response to adv. action of 4/28/08

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 September 2008 **Time:** 10AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner inquired about whether a response had been timely filed. Applicant's representative indicated that no response had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)